Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/721,851	WONG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Callie E. Shosho	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Callie E. Shosho</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Judith Byorick</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 November 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		,
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 54-59, 78, 80 Prior art documents discussed:		÷
Part II.	PAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. Port II along. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
TEXAMINENSE CHUMANIES TADDICAND	Applicant 3 1/20123511141176 31	minature - ir applubliate <i>i</i>

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Ms. Byorick.

The amendment to the specification was made to replace attorney docket numbers with application/Patent numbers.

The amendment to each of claims 1, 78, and 80 was made to ensure that each claim recites proper antecedent basis with respect to the ink.

The amendment to each of claims 54-59 was made to avoid potential claim objections under 37 CFR 1.75(c) and to ensure that each of the claims properly further limits the scope of the claim on which each depends, namely, claim 1.